

**Optional Flexibility Provision (50% Excess)
FY 2011 (Federal FY 2010)**

Unit	(Federal FY 2010) FY 2011 Allocation	(Federal FY 2009) FY 2010 Allocation	Increase/Decrease	50% Increase
Bismarck	\$2,619,588	\$2,627,131	(\$7,543)	
Burleigh County	\$41,574	\$37,964	\$3,610	1804.78
Dickinson	\$714,556	\$694,142	\$20,414	10207.05
East Central	\$325,292	\$346,831	(\$21,539)	
Emmons County	\$162,974	\$171,312	(\$8,338)	
Fargo	\$2,715,619	\$2,704,034	\$11,584	5792.12
Fort Totten	\$53,352	\$55,446	(\$2,093)	
Grand Forks	\$1,901,160	\$1,912,359	(\$11,198)	
Griggs/Steele/Traill	\$475,893	\$492,307	(\$16,414)	
James River	\$363,147	\$363,210	(\$62)	
Jamestown	\$652,584	\$656,925	(\$4,341)	
Lake Region	\$1,108,929	\$1,119,749	(\$10,821)	
Lonetree	\$353,812	\$356,439	(\$2,627)	
Morton/Sioux	\$1,147,402	\$1,125,074	\$22,328	11164.05
Northern Plains	\$229,835	\$229,763	\$72	36.00
Oliver/Mercer	\$389,019	\$403,884	(\$14,865)	
Peace Garden	\$803,317	\$811,664	(\$8,347)	
Pembina	\$248,029	\$240,834	\$7,194	3597.23
Rural Cass	\$462,206	\$454,881	\$7,325	3662.58
Sheyenne Valley	\$603,535	\$609,211	(\$5,676)	
Souris Valley	\$3,142,382	\$3,144,508	(\$2,126)	
South Central Prairie	\$303,627	\$301,822	\$1,805	902.47
South Valley	\$680,980	\$690,905	(\$9,925)	
Southwest	\$171,261	\$170,985	\$276	137.87
Standing Rock	\$79,990	\$80,081	(\$90)	
Turtle Mountain	\$73,831	\$89,683	(\$15,853)	
Upper Valley	\$798,085	\$820,764	(\$22,678)	
Wahpeton	\$323,193	\$324,616	(\$1,423)	
West Fargo	\$1,441,045	\$1,394,686	\$46,359	23179.64
West River	\$590,989	\$600,716	(\$9,727)	
Wilmac	\$1,090,925	\$1,061,857	\$29,068	14534.00
ND School for Deaf	\$27,164	\$27,129	\$35	17.37
STATE TOTALS	\$24,095,295	\$24,120,913		

**FY 2010 allocation adjusted for units who reorganized in FY 2011 based on relative numbers of children with disabilities ages 3 - 21.*

Under section 613(a)(2)(c), in any fiscal year that an LEA's IDEA allocation exceeds the amount the LEA received in the previous year, under certain circumstances, the LEA may reduce the level of state and local expenditures by 50% of the amount of the increase, as long as the LEA uses those freed-up local funds for activities that could be supported under the ESEA. If an LEA takes advantage of this provision, the required MOE for future years in reduced consistent with the reduction it took, unless the LEA increases the amount of its state and local expenditures on its own.

An LEA can exercise this option in Table 1 of the MOE worksheet. Supporting documentation must be maintained to verify the LEA used freed-up local funds, consistent with the reduction, towards activities that could be supported under the ESEA. NDDPI will collect information on use of the freed-up funds at the end of the fiscal (school) year.